

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, 23 June 2017 1:51 PM
To: submissions
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: GE Free Northland submission on Food derived from Herbicide-tolerant Canola Line MS11

Categories: [REDACTED]

Submission to FSANZ

Submission on Food derived from Herbicide-tolerant Canola Line MS11: to seek approval for food derived from herbicide-tolerant, male-sterile canola line MS11, genetically modified to provide tolerance to the herbicide glufosinate-ammonium.

Submission by:

GE Free Northland (in food & environment)
PO Box 1439
Whangarei 0140

Contact:

[REDACTED]
email: [REDACTED]

Our community group submits that FSANZ should take Option 2 and reject the application.

Our community group works constructively with all Northland territorial authorities, the Northland Regional Council, Taitokerau and Auckland mana whenua, Northland Conservation Board and various other organisations as well as Landcare groups to protect our existing valuable GM free status...to protect our biosecurity, unique biodiversity, conventional, IPM and organic primary producers, our economy and the public health from the risks/ adverse impacts of outdoor use of GMOs.

We also support comprehensive GE labelling of all imported foodstuffs to protect "consumer right to know" and traceability. We oppose the use of risky imported GE ingredients into our food chain. We want to protect the public health and our food sovereignty.

We represent our members and supporters concerns around the risks and regulation of GMOs since the late 1990's as industry and regulatory authorities have sought to push risky GE foods into the New Zealand food chain.

We support NZ's existing Zero Tolerance Policy for any GE content in imported seeds, including adventitious presence.

We support the precautionary and prohibitive GE policies of all Taitokerau Iwi authorities for their respective rohe. We support the innovative work of all the member councils of the Northland/ Auckland "Inter Council Working Party on GMO Risk Evaluation & Management Options", working to create an additional (much needed) tier of local protection against the risks of outdoor use of GMOs. We work to keep unwanted new organisms (GMO and otherwise) out of Taitokerau and Auckland region. We applaud the efforts of various NZ councils to put in place a much-needed additional tier of local protection against the risks of outdoor use of GMOs to their regions biosecurity, GE free primary producers, economy, environment, and food sovereignty.

We ask that the application not be approved.

The systems and processes currently used to approve this (and previous similar applications) are not fit for purpose as they lack the rigor and comprehensive data needed to make a genuine and credible safety assessment.

In the absence of long term feeding studies and 'omic' analysis that have been identified as necessary and best practice by independent experts, to understand the changes and potential implications for food safety, FSANZ is erring by making a decision based on assumptions and inadequate data.

In the absence of full data it is not possible for FSANZ to support the claim made that:
As food from MS11 has been found to be as safe as food from conventional lines of canola, not preparing a draft variation offers little benefit to consumers, as approval of MS11 by other countries could limit the availability of imported canola products in the Australian and New Zealand market

(Reference source below)

FSANZ know that there are unexpected off-target effects resulting from genetic engineering, including from much hyped 'accurate and precise' recent advances using CRISPR.

The complexity of unexpected risk is made clear by recent [study in mice](#), which found that the large numbers of off-target mutations caused by CRISPR in mice could not be predicted by the usual computer algorithms.

The techniques used in MS11 must be considered even less predictable.

Schaefer KA, Wu W-H, Colgan DF, Tsang SH, Bassuk AG, Mahajan VB. Unexpected mutations after CRISPR-Cas9 editing in vivo. Nat Methods. 2017;14(6):547-548. doi:10.1038/nmeth.4293.

<https://www.nature.com/nmeth/journal/v14/n6/full/nmeth.4293.html>

FSANZ is in error by relying on the inadequate data and claims of the applicant. Independent scientific comment highlights that the data available for assessment by FSANZ is inadequate for any approval to be legitimate or to meet FSANZ's legislated responsibility.

It is necessary for FSANZ to require whole genome sequencing to identify off-target mutations and also essential to ascertain the effects of unintended changes on global patterns of gene function.

Also required is sequencing using other molecular profiling analyses or "omics"- transcriptomics — gene expression profiling, proteomics — protein composition profiling, metabolomics — profiling of metabolites, and miR-omics — microRNA profiling.

It is also necessary for FSANZ to require long-term toxicity studies in established animal model systems. The compositional alterations in these and other food products produced with Genetic Engineering will not be fully revealed by the molecular profiling methods due to the current inherent limitations of these techniques.

**In the absence of these data to inform FSANZ, there can be no legitimate approval of MS11.
We wish to be heard. Please keep us informed.**

Reference:

<http://www.foodstandards.gov.au/code/applications/Documents/A1140%20GM%20canola%20MS11%20CFS.pdf>

Option 2 – Reject application

Consumers:

Possible restriction in the availability of imported canola products which may be produced after co-mingling of seed from MS11.

No effect on consumers wishing to avoid GM foods, as food from MS11 is not currently permitted in the food supply.

Potential increase in price of imported canola food products due to requirement for segregation of MS11 seed.

Government:

Potential effect if considered inconsistent with WTO obligations but this would be in terms of trade policy rather than in government revenue.

Industry:

Possible restriction on imports of canola food products, if MS11 is commercialised overseas.

Without a food approval, it is unlikely a licence for the commercial growing of MS11 in Australia would be issued by the Gene Technology Regulator.

As food from MS11 has been found to be as safe as food from conventional lines of canola, not preparing a draft variation offers little benefit to consumers, as approval of MS11 by other countries could limit the availability of imported canola products in the Australian and New Zealand market